

1. Homework 3

15 Tamil (Tamil is a Dravidian language spoken in South India and Sri Lanka.) In the following Tamil data, some words begin with glides while others do not. The symbol [d̪] represents a voiced retroflex stop and the diacritic [ː] indicates dentals.

Initial j-glide		Initial w-glide		No initial glide	
a) jeli	'rat'	f) woɖi	'break'	k) arivu	'knowledge'
b) ji:	'fly'	g) wo:laj	'palm leaf'	l) aiṅṅu	'five'
c) jilaj	'leaf'	h) wu:si	'needle'	m) a:saj	'desire'
d) jeŋge:	'where'	i) wujir	'life'	n) a:ru	'river'
e) jiduppu	'waist'	j) wo:ram	'edge'	o) a:di	'origin'

How do we define the environments?
 [j] before [i e]
 [w] before [u o]
 Ø before [a]

- i) The occurrence of these glides is predictable. Using your knowledge of natural classes, make a general statement about the distribution of the glides. (Refer to Section 2.)
- ii) Assuming the glides are not present in the underlying representations, name the process that accounts for their presence in the phonetic forms. (Refer to Section 6.3.)

II. Polish

On the basis of the data in a. and b. we proposed the rule of word-final devoicing for Polish:

$$\text{WFD: } [-\text{son}] \rightarrow [-\text{voice}] / _ \#$$

1. Propose a rule to account for vowel alternations in c. The rule needs to use features.
2. Are the rules of WFD devoicing and the one you just proposed ordered?
3. Show derivations of 'cat,' 'club,' and 'ice' to support your analysis.

Voiceless	a.	[trup]	'corpse'	[trupɨ]	'corpses'	/u/
		[wuk]	'bow'	[wukɨ]	'bows'	
		[kot]	'cat'	[kotɨ]	'cats'	/o/
		[sok]	'juice'	[sokɨ]	'juices'	
		[dom]	'house'	[domɨ]	'houses'	
Voiced	b.	[klup]	'club'	[klubɨ]	'clubs'	/u/
		[trut]	'labor'	[trudɨ]	'labors'	
		[wuk]	'lye'	[wugɨ]	'lyes'	/o/
		[vos]	'cart'	[vozɨ]	'carts'	
Voiced	c.	[lut]	'ice'	[lodɨ]	'ices'	
		[ruk]	'horn'	[rogɨ]	'horns'	/?/
		[ʒwup]	'crib'	[ʒwobɨ]	'cribs'	

III. Extra credit: Turkish vowel harmony

In class we have formulated a backness harmony rule which accounts for the alternations in the plural in Turkish. The rule was stated as follows:

$$(1) \text{ Backness harmony: } [-\text{cons}] \rightarrow [\alpha\text{back}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{cons} \\ \alpha\text{back} \end{array} \right] C_1 _$$

Your task now is to account for the alternations in the accusative. Write a rule (let's call it a *rounding harmony* rule) which, together with the rule in (1), will account for the data in (2).

(2)	noun	plural	accusative	gloss
	dal	dallar	dalɯ	'branch'
	kol	kollar	kolu	'arm'
	kuuz	kuuzlar	kuuzu	'girl'
	kul	kullar	kulu	'slave'
	jel	jeller	jeli	'wind'
	göl	göller	göly	'sea'
	diş	dişler	dişi	'tooth'
	göl	gyller	gyly	'rose'

What's wrong with this rule?

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} + \text{syllabic} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha \text{round} \end{array} \right] / \left[\begin{array}{c} + \text{syllabic} \\ \alpha \text{round} \end{array} \right] C_1 _$$

(1) Polish: List of environments

<table border="0"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">[u]</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">/o/</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">l<u>u</u>d</td> <td>(b)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">v<u>o</u>z(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">r<u>u</u>g</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>z</u>w<u>u</u>b</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(a)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">k<u>o</u>t(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">s<u>o</u>k(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">d<u>o</u>m(i)</td> </tr> </table>	[u]		/o/	l <u>u</u> d	(b)	v <u>o</u> z(i)	r <u>u</u> g			<u>z</u> w <u>u</u> b				(a)	k <u>o</u> t(i)			s <u>o</u> k(i)			d <u>o</u> m(i)		<table border="0"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">[o]</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">/u/</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">l<u>o</u>di</td> <td>(b)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">kl<u>u</u>b(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">r<u>o</u>gi</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">tr<u>u</u>d(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><u>z</u>w<u>o</u>bi</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">w<u>u</u>g(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>(a)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">tr<u>u</u>p(i)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">w<u>u</u>k(i)</td> </tr> </table>	[o]		/u/	l <u>o</u> di	(b)	kl <u>u</u> b(i)	r <u>o</u> gi		tr <u>u</u> d(i)	<u>z</u> w <u>o</u> bi		w <u>u</u> g(i)		(a)	tr <u>u</u> p(i)			w <u>u</u> k(i)
[u]		/o/																																							
l <u>u</u> d	(b)	v <u>o</u> z(i)																																							
r <u>u</u> g																																									
<u>z</u> w <u>u</u> b																																									
	(a)	k <u>o</u> t(i)																																							
		s <u>o</u> k(i)																																							
		d <u>o</u> m(i)																																							
[o]		/u/																																							
l <u>o</u> di	(b)	kl <u>u</u> b(i)																																							
r <u>o</u> gi		tr <u>u</u> d(i)																																							
<u>z</u> w <u>o</u> bi		w <u>u</u> g(i)																																							
	(a)	tr <u>u</u> p(i)																																							
		w <u>u</u> k(i)																																							

(2) Find the (obvious, serious) error in each rule. Which environment is the best?

a. Before voiced stop

1. Raising
2. WF Devoicing
(counterbleeding)

$$o \rightarrow u \quad / \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ voice} \\ - \text{ nasal} \\ - \text{ continuant} \end{array} \right] \# \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{ex. /kot/, /sok/} \\ \text{ex. /dom/} \\ \text{ex. /voz/} \\ \text{and \# ex. /vozj/...} \end{array}$$

b. Betw. sonorant and WF consonant

- WF Devoicing
 - Raising
- (unordered)

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ syll} \\ - \text{ high} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ syll} \\ + \text{ high} \end{array} \right] \quad / \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ sonorant} \end{array} \right] _ C \quad \left. \vphantom{\left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ syll} \\ + \text{ high} \end{array} \right]} \right]_{\sigma}$$

ex. [vos] ... ex. /vozj/...

c. Betw. sonorant and voiceless C

1. WF Devoicing
 2. Raising
- (feeding)

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ syll} \\ + \text{ low} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ high} \end{array} \right] \quad / \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ sonorant} \end{array} \right] _ \left[\begin{array}{l} - \text{ voice} \end{array} \right]$$

ex. [vos] ... ex. /vozj/ ...

d. Betw. voiced C and stop

- WF Devoicing
 - Raising
- (unordered)

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ syll} \\ - \text{ high} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ high} \end{array} \right] \quad / \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ consonant} \\ + \text{ voice} \end{array} \right] _ \left[\begin{array}{l} + \text{ stop} \end{array} \right]$$

ex. [kot], [sok] ex. [dom], [vos]

(3) What makes a good rule?

a. Works for **ALL** the data!

- **Try** not to overspecify. (**Sensible**) **assumptions** about data you haven't seen yet are good. But for this class, we'll understand if you're not sure what makes an assumption sensible.
- **Try** not to include redundant information in your rule. But again, for this class, we'll understand if you don't have time to cross out the unnecessary parts of your rule.

b. Simple: natural classes (IPA categories) and environments (neighbours, like with like)

- Omits redundant information
- **Rules with only phonological conditions are simpler than rules which include morphological conditions.**

c. Phonetically natural changes, e.g. glides are vowels with maximal constriction

2. Morphology

(4) Pronouns in Bazaar Malay (Malay-based pidgin spoken in Singapore)

- | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| a. [gwa] 'I, me' | b. [lu] 'you (SG)' | c. [dia] 'he, him, she, her, it' |
| d. [kita] 'us (including you)' | e. [lu oran] 'you (PL)' | f. [dia oran] 'they, them' |
| g. [kami] 'us (excluding you)' | | |

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

(5) Simple or complex? *If complex, identify bound morphemes and roots, and draw tree structure.*

- | | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| a. actionable | b. prefix | c. reform |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|

- | | | |
|---------|-----------|-------------|
| d. rely | e. equine | f. serenity |
|---------|-----------|-------------|

3. Review

(6) Transcription

- | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| a. luxury _____ | b. suggest _____ |
| c. singer _____ | d. strength _____ |
| e. whiter _____ | f. wider _____ |
| g. palm _____ | h. father _____ |
| i. cot _____ | j. caught _____ |
| k. cure _____ | l. poor _____ |
| m. syrup _____ | n. sir _____ |
| o. hero _____ | p. clear _____ |
| q. orange _____ | r. door _____ |
| s. hurry _____ | t. merry _____ |
| u. Mary _____ | v. marry _____ |

(7) **IPA categories and features. Describe each natural class using IPA categories and features.**

English contrasts /ptk bdg tʃdʒ fv θð sz ʃʒ h/ and /iɛɛæ ʌə uɔɔɑ/

a. /ptk bdg tʃdʒ fv θð sz ʃʒ h/ _____

b. /pb fv m w/ _____

c. /ptk bdg/ _____

d. /fv θð sz ʃʒ h/ _____

e. /ʌə uɔɔɑ/ _____

f. /eo/ _____

(8) **Rule ordering in Lardil (Pama-Nyungan family, Australia – Prince & Smolensky 1993: 110)**

There are two rules. What's the order? C Loss: Stops, nasals, glides (not liquids). V Loss: All V.

You don't need to know how to write this C Loss rule in features. It's a very strange class of consonants.

Underlying Stem	•Nominative•	Nonfut. Acc.	Fut. Acc.	Gloss
a. C Loss from Stem				
ɲaluk	ɲalu	ɲaluk-in	ɲaluk-uɾ	'story' 438
wuŋkunuŋ	wuŋkunu	wuŋkunuŋ-in	wuŋkunuŋ-kuɾ	'queen-fish' 438
wajalk	wajal	wajalk-in	wajalk-uɾ	'boomerang' 438
b. V Loss from Stem				
yiliyili	yiliyil	yiliyili-n	yiliyili-wuɾ	'oyster sp.' 424
mayara	mayar	mayara-n	mayara-ɾ	'rainbow' 424
c. CV Loss from Stem				
yukaɾpa	yukaɾ	yukaɾpa-n	yukaɾpa-ɾ	'husband' 424
wuɟalt'i	wuɟal	wuɟalt'i-n	wuɟalt'i-wuɾ	'meat' 424
ɲawuɲawu	ɲawuɲa	ɲawuɲawu-n	ɲawuɲawu-ɾ	'termite' 425
muɾkunima	muɾkuni	muɾkunima-n	muɾkinima-ɾ	'nullah' 425
d. CCV Loss from Stem				
muŋkumuŋku	muŋkumu	muŋkumuŋku-n	muŋkumuŋku-ɾ	'wooden axe' 425
tʷumputʷumpu	tʷumputʷu	tʷumputʷumpu-n	tʷumputʷumpu-ɾ	'dragonfly' 425

References

Prince, Alan & Paul Smolensky (1993). *Optimality Theory: Constraint interaction in generative grammar*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Center for Cognitive Science. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive as ROA 537.

Midterm 1 will cover Chapter 1 of the textbook and the last class before the exam.
--